

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/892,671	06/27/2001	Joseph F. Cihula	PW 028 018 P-11673	3953
7590 04/05/2005			EXAMINER	
Micheal R. Barre			TRAN, ELLEN C	
c/o BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Seventh Floor			2134	
Los Angeles, CA 90025			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/892,671	CIHULA, JOSEPH F.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ellen C Tran	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  D) days will be considered timely.  If from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 June 2001.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl iority documents have been rec	ication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not rec	ceived.				
Attach many (a)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	man/(PTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Information (6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/892,671 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communication: filed on 27 June 2001.

2. Claims 1-29 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1, 11, and 20 are independent claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language

4. Claims 1-27, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jardin U.S. Patent No. 6,681,327 (hereinafter '327).

As to independent claim 1, A method of increasing realized secure sockets layer encryption and decryption connections comprising: monitoring at least one parameter of a server that is known to affect an ability of a device to process secure sockets layer connections" is taught in '327 col. 3, line 50 through col. 4, line 20;

"calculating a secure sockets layer capacity value for the server" is shown in '327 col. 6, lines 44-58 (i.e. "calculating ... capacity ... for server" same as "adjusted to accommodate various server configurations");

"calculating a load value for a secure sockets layer device" is disclosed in '327 col. 6, lines 12-31 ("calculating" same as "broker may adjust (i.e. increase or decrease)" / "load value" same as "traffic" / "device" same as "buffer");

"calculating a secure sockets layer connection threshold for the server; setting the secure sockets layer connection threshold for the server" is taught in '327 col. 6, lines 51-57;

"applying the secure sockets layer connection threshold to the secure sockets layer device to be used in determining a number of connections for processing by the secure sockets layer device for the server; and recalculating the secure sockets layer connection threshold value for the secure sockets layer device" is shown in '327 col. 6, lines 21-31.

As to dependent claim 2, "wherein the server parameter is CPU utilization" is disclosed in '327 col. 8, lines 42-52.

As to dependent claim 3, "wherein the server parameter is available memory" is taught in '327 col. 6, lines 12-31.

As to dependent claim 4, "wherein the secure sockets layer capacity value of the server represents a capability of the server to process secure sockets layer connections" is shown in '327 col. 8, lines 42-67.

As to dependent claim 5, "wherein at least one of the secure sockets layer capacity value and the load value is a direct value" is disclosed in '327 col. 6, lines 15-32.

As to dependent claim 6, "wherein at least one of the secure sockets layer capacity value and the load value is a computation of values" is taught in '327 col. 6, lines 15-32.

As to dependent claim 7, "wherein the secure sockets layer capacity value=max [(# processors x processor speed/100) x (0.7-CPU utilization), 0]" is shown in '327 col. 8, line 42 through col. 9, line 10.

As to dependent claim 8, "wherein the secure sockets layer connection threshold for the secure sockets layer device is a function of both device load and server capacity" is disclosed in '327 col. 6, lines 15-32.

As to dependent claim 9, "wherein device load and server capacity are variable values" is taught in '327 col. 6, lines 15-32.

As to dependent claim 10, wherein the connection threshold=10 x server capacity x device CPU utilization, represents the number of secure sockets layer connections that the secure sockets layer device allows a server to process" is shown in '327 col. 8, line 42 through col. 9, line 10.

As to independent claim 11, this claim is directed to a computer readable medium of the method of claim 1; therefore it is rejected along similar rationale.

As dependent claims 12- 19, these claims contain substantially similar subject matter as claims 2-10; therefore they are rejected along similar rationale.

As to independent claim 20, this claim is directed to the system of the method of claim 1; therefore it is rejected along similar rationale.

As dependent claims 21-29, these claims contain substantially similar subject matter as claims 2-10; therefore they are rejected along similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2134

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen C Tran whose telephone number is

(571) 272-3842. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A Morse can be reached on (571) 272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ellen Tran
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2134
18 March 2005

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100